

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 06/29/2005

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/029,169	12/28/2001	Takeshi Azani	00780136AA	4590
7590 06/29/2005			EXAMINER	
LAW OFFICES			SMITH, TRACI L	
WHITHAM, CURTIS & CHRISTOFFERSON, P.C.				
11491 SUNSET HILLS ROAD, SUITE 340			ART UNIT -	PAPER NUMBER
P.O. Box 9204			3629	
Reston, VA 20	0190			_

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		10/029,169	AZANI, TAKESHI			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Traci L. Smith	3629			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	appears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address			
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state ply received by the Office later than three months after the mand patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from tute, cause the application to become ABANDONE	mety filed ys will be considered timety. In the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>28 December 2001</u> .					
•	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.					
3)	, 					
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Dispositi	on of Claims					
4)⊠	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are pending in the application.					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) 🗌	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠	☐ Claim(s) <u>1-12</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers					
9)[]	The specification is objected to by the Exam	iner.				
•	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
,—	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11)	11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure See the attached detailed Office action for a least	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applicat rionty documents have been receiv eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s) e of Réferences Cited (PTO-892)	4) ☐ Interview Summary	((PTO 413)			
2) Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate			
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0r No(s)/Mail Date	08) 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/029,169

Art Unit: 3629

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

- 1. This action is in response to papers filed on December 28, 2001.
- 2. Claims 1-12 are pending
- 3. Claims 1-12 are rejected.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 5. Claims 1, 5-8 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by US Patent Publication 2003/0055689 A1 Block et al. Automated Internet Based Interactive Travel Planning and Management System, hereinafter referred to as Block.
- 6. As to claims 1 and 6 Block teaches a system of the users (consumers or business) registering desired travel information (Pg. 3 ¶ 50) and a representative arranging the travel for multiple people with similar interests(Pg. 26 ¶ 310).
- 7. As to claims 5 and 12 Block teaches the group receiving a discounted rate from the representative(Pg. 26 ¶ 310).

Application/Control Number: 10/029,169 Page 3

Art Unit: 3629

8. As to claim 7 Block teaches the registration system consisting of various input information such as destination, schedule etc.(Pg. 27¶313).

9. As to claim 8 Block teaches the trip destination determined by the most common interest of the travelers(Pg. 27 ¶ 314).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 12. Claims 2-4 and 9-11 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US Patent Publication 2003/0055689 A1 Block et al as applied to claim s 1, 5-8 and 12 above, and further in view of US Patent 5,948,040 Travel Reservation Information and Planning System, Delorme et al; hereinafter referred to as DeLorme.
- 13. As to claims 2-4 and 9-11 Block teaches a group travel booking system but fails to teach fees paid by the users. Delorme teaches a travel reservation system in which

Application/Control Number: 10/029,169

Art Unit: 3629

Page 4

the users are charged various different fees at various different points in the system. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to combine the teachings of Delorme with Block so as to an a method of generating revenue and guaranteeing that the users are committed to the input travel plans.

Although Delorme does not explicitly teach the fees as "contingent" these differences are only found in the nonfunctional descriptive material and are not functionally involved in the process recited. The process would be performed regardless of the types of fees that are collected. Thus, this descriptive material will not distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art in terms of patentability, see in re Gulack, 703 F.2d 1381, 1385, 217 USPQ 401, 44(Fed. Cir. 1983); In re Lowry, 32 F.3d 1579, 32 USPQ 2d 1031(Fed. Cir. 1994).

Conclusion

- 14. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Traci L. Smith whose telephone number is 572-272-6809. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday 6:00 am-4:30 pm.
- 15. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, John Weiss can be reached on 571-272-6812. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Application/Control Number: 10/029,169

Art Unit: 3629

Page 5

16. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

TIs

JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

ger. el